arXiv:1005.1396v1 [math.OA] 9 May 2010

A Factorization Theorem for φ–Maps[*]

Michael Skeide[†]

May 2010

Abstract
We present a far reaching generalization of a factorization theorem by Bhat, Ramesh, and Sumesh (stated first by Asadi) and furnish a very quick proof.

1 The result

Let E and F be Hilbert modules over C^*–algebras $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$, respectively. Let φ be a map from $\mathcal{B}$ to $\mathcal{C}$. We say a linear map $T\colon E \rightarrow F$ is a ***φ–map*** if

$$\langle T(x), T(x')\rangle \;=\; \varphi(\langle x, x'\rangle)$$

for all $x, x' \in E$.

Theorem. *Let E and F be Hilbert modules over unital C^*–algebras $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$, respectively. Then for every linear map $T\colon E \rightarrow F$ the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. *T is a φ–map for some completely positive map $\varphi\colon \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$.*
2. *There exists a pair $(\mathcal{F}, \zeta)$ of a C^*–correspondence $\mathcal{F}$ from $\mathcal{B}$ to $\mathcal{C}$ and a vector $\zeta \in \mathcal{F}$, and there exists an isometry $v\colon E \odot \mathcal{F} \rightarrow F$ such that*

$$T \;=\; v(\mathrm{id}_E \odot \zeta)\colon\; x \;\longmapsto\; v(x \odot \zeta).$$

Proof. $2 \Rightarrow 1$. $\varphi := \langle \zeta, \bullet\zeta\rangle$ is that map.

$1 \Rightarrow 2$. By Paschke's GNS-construction for CP-maps [Pas73, Theorem 5.2], there exist a $\mathcal{B}$–$\mathcal{C}$–correspondence $\mathcal{F}$ and a vector $\zeta \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $\langle \zeta, \bullet\zeta\rangle = \varphi$ and $\mathcal{F} = \overline{\operatorname{span}}\, \mathcal{B}\zeta\mathcal{C}$. By

$$\langle x \odot (b\zeta c), x' \odot (b'\zeta c')\rangle \;=\; c^*\varphi(\langle xb, xb'\rangle)c' \;=\; \langle T(xb)c, T(x'b')c'\rangle,$$

$x \odot (b\zeta c) \mapsto T(xb)c$ defines an isometry $v\colon E \rightarrow F$. Specializing to $b = \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $c = \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}}$ we get $v(x \odot \zeta) = T(x)$. ■

---

[*] AMS 2000 subject classification 46L08, 46L53, 60G25, 46L55

[†] This work is supported by research funds of University of Molise and Italian MIUR.

2 Comparison and outlook

Let H_1, H_2 denote Hilbert spaces. Put $C := \mathcal{B}(H_1)$, and put $F := \mathcal{B}(H_1, H_2)$. Assume $\Phi\colon E \to F = \mathcal{B}(H_1, H_2)$ is a φ–map for the a CP-map $\varphi\colon \mathcal{B} \to C = \mathcal{B}(H_1)$, and construct the ingredients as stated under 2 in the theorem. Put $K_1 := \mathcal{F} \odot H_1$, and denote by $\rho\colon b \mapsto b \odot \mathsf{id}_{H_1}$ the induced representation of $\mathcal{B}$ on K_1. Put $K_2 := E \odot K_1$, and denote by $\Psi\colon x \mapsto x \odot \mathsf{id}_{K_1}$ the representation of E to $\mathcal{B}(K_1, K_2)$ induced by ρ. Denote $V := \zeta \odot \mathsf{id}_{H_1} \in \mathcal{B}(H_1, K_1)$ and $W := (\upsilon \odot \mathsf{id}_{H_1})^* \in \mathcal{B}(K_2, H_1)$.

Then $K_1, K_2, V, W, \rho, \Psi$ are as stated in [BRS10, Theorem 2.1].[1] Moreover, our theorem asserts that W is a coisometry. Our theorem immediately gives the same result when we replace the Hilbert spaces H_1, H_2 with Hilbert $\mathcal{D}$–modules in the spirit of the KSGNS-construction. Then also K_1, K_2 are Hilbert $\mathcal{D}$–modules. (Some care is in place, because we do not know, if υ is adjointable. But in [BRS10] what is needed, actually, is W^* written, unnecessarily, as the adjoint of some W.) Of course, it is a trivial issue to restrict C to a subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(H_1)$ (no matter if Hilbert modules or spaces).

Note, too, that there is the uniqueness result [BRS10, Theorem 2.4] under two cyclicity conditions. The first one is just that of the usual Stinespring construction. The second one, nondegeneracy of Φ, follows automatically form our additional information that W^* is an isometry. The uniqueness statement follows easily form the observation that, under that nondegeneracy, Ψ, by the properties stated in [BRS10, Theorem 2.1], is the representation of E induced by ρ, and as such unique up to suitable unitary equivalence.

The only purpose of this note was to provide an extremely short and easy proof of a considerable generalization of [BRS10, Theorem 2.1]. In this way, we wish to underline the enormous potential of Hilbert module techniques. A systematic investigation (for instance, adding more equivalent properties to the theorem, like extendability of T to a CP-map on the linking algebra), shall be carried out separately.

References

[Asa09] M.B. Asadi, *Stinespring's theorem for Hilbert C^*–modules*, J. Operator Theory **62** (2009), 235–238.

[BRS10] B.V.R. Bhat, G. Ramesh, and K. Sumesh, *Stinespring's theorem for maps on Hilbert C^*–modules*, Preprint, arXiv: 1001.3743v1, 2010, To appear in J. Operator Theory.

[Pas73] W.L. Paschke, *Inner product modules over B^*–algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **182** (1973), 443–468.

Michael Skeide, *Università degli Studi del Molise, Dipartimento S.E.G.e S., Via de Sanctis 86100 Campobasso, Italy, E-mail:* `skeide@unimol.it`,
Homepage: `http://www.math.tu-cottbus.de/INSTITUT/lswas/_skeide.html`.

---

[1] This result has been stated first by Asadi [Asa09] with an unnecessary condition and with an incorrect proof.